PX 258

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
               SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
      -----)
 3
     SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
                                   )
 4
 5
     COMMISSION,
                                    )
 6
                    Plaintiff, ) Case No.
 7
                                    )20 CV 10832 (AT)
          VS.
     RIPPLE LABS, INC.; BRADLEY
 8
     GARLINGHOUSE, and CHRISTIAN A. )
 9
10
     LARSEN,
                    Defendants. )
11
12
13
14
         DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM HAROLD HINMAN, JR.
15
                      WASHINGTON, D.C.
16
                       JULY 27, 2021
17
18
     REPORTED BY: Tina Alfaro, RPR, CRR, RMR
19
20
                      DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
21
                   1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812
                      Washington, D.C. 20036
22
                          (202) 232-0646
```

```
2
               Videotaped deposition of WILLIAM HAROLD
 1
      HINMAN, JR., held at the offices of:
 2
 3
                    Kellogg Hansen Todd Figel & Frederick
 4
                    1615 M Street, NW
 5
                    Washington, D.C. 20036
 6
 7
 8
               Taken pursuant to notice before Tina M.
 9
      Alfaro, a Notary Public within and for the District
10
      of Columbia.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

```
3
 1
      APPEARANCES:
           ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
 2
           SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
           BY: JORGE TENREIRO, ESQ.
 3
               LADAN STEWART, ESQ.
               200 Vesey Street, Suite 400
               New York, New York 10281
 4
 5
      and
           SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 6
           BY: BRIDGET FITZPATRICK, ESQ.
               MELINDA HARDY, ESQ.
 7
               100 F Street, NE
 8
               Washington, D.C. 20549
      and
 9
           SIMPSON THACHER
10
           BY: MEAGHAN KELLY, ESQ.
               PAUL GLUCKOW, ESQ.
11
               900 G Street, NW
               Washington, D.C. 20001
12
13
           ON BEHALF OF RIPPLE LABS:
           KELLOGG HANSEN TODD FIGEL & FREDERICK
14
           BY: REID FIGEL, ESQ.
               ELIANA PFEFFER, ESQ.
15
               1615 M Street, NW
               Washington, D.C. 20036
16
      and
           DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON
17
           BY: MICHAEL PISEM, ESO.
               919 Third Avenue
               New York, New York 10022
18
19
20
21
22
```

```
4
 1
      APPEARANCES: (cont'd)
           ON BEHALF OF CHRISTIAN LARSEN:
 2
           PAUL WEISS RIFKIND WHARTON & GARRISON
 3
           BY: MARTIN FLUMENBAUM, ESQ.
               SARAH PROSTKO, ESQ.
               CARLY LaGROTTERIA (remote)
 4
               1285 Avenue of the Americas
 5
               New York, New York 10019
 6
 7
           ON BEHALF OF BRADLEY GARLINGHOUSE:
           CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
           BY: MATTHEW SOLOMON, ESQ
 8
               NICOLE TATZ, ESQ.
               JORGE LOPEZ, ESQ. (remote)
 9
               NOWELL BAMBERGER, ESQ. (remote)
10
               2112 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
               Washington, D.C. 20037
11
12
      ALSO PRESENT: Stu Alderoty (Ripple Labs)
13
14
                     Deb McCrimmon (Ripple - remote)
15
                     David Campbell (videographer)
                     James Beall (hotseat)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

Casse 1: 220 cov 1008332 ANT-SSN | Document 6847-5176 | Filed 1006/137223 | Pragge 66 of f 4667

			5
1	INDEX		
2	EXAMINATION		
3	WITNESS	PAGE	
4	WILLIAM HAROLD HINMAN, JR.		
5	By Mr. Figel	9	
6	By Mr. Flumenbaum	306	
7	By Mr. Tenreiro	369	
8	By Mr. Flumenbaum	381	
9	By Mr. Solomon	397	
10	By Mr. Figel	412	
11			
12	EXHIBITS		
13	HINMAN EXHIBITS	PAGE	
14	Exhibit 1	11	
15	E-mail Exhibit 2	12	
16	E-mail Exhibit 3	13	
17	PowerPoint Exhibit 4	24	
18	Speech Exhibit 5	30	
19	Bio Exhibit 6	50	
20	Supreme Court's decision in SEC versus Howey		
21			
22			

			6
1	EXHIBITS, CON'T		
2	HINMAN EXHIBITS	PAGE	
3	Exhibit 7 Printout from SEC Website	68	
4	Exhibit 8	83	
5	Blog posting Exhibit 9 Press release	118	
6	Exhibit 10	124	
7	6/14/18 speech Exhibit 11 Dow report	135	
8	Exhibit 12 Video clip	139	
9	Exhibit 13 Memo	141	
10	Exhibit 14 E-mail	159	
11	Exhibit 15 PowerPoint	170	
12	Exhibit 16 E-mail	170	
13	Exhibit 17 E-mail	180	
14	Exhibit 18 E-mail	189	
15	Exhibit 19 (Not described)	199	
16	Exhibit 20 E-mail	204	
17	Exhibit 21 Presentation	209	
18	Exhibit 22 File comment letter	209	
19	Exhibit 23 Davis Polk letter	210	
20	Exhibit 24 Davis Polk lettre	210	
21	Exhibit 25	212	
22	Hearing transcript		

Casse 1: 200 cov 11008332 ANT-SSNN | Doocumeent 68347-5176 | FFileed 096/113/223 | Pragge 88 of f 4667

			7
1	EXHIBITS, CON'T		
2	HINMAN EXHIBITS	PAGE	
3	Exhibit 26 E-mail	220	
4	Exhibit 27 E-mail	223	
5	Exhibit 28 E-mail	245	
6	Exhibit 29 6/21/21 SEC privilege log	262	
7	Exhibit 30 7/14/21 SEC privilege log	262	
8	Exhibit 31 7/21/21 SEC privilege log 2	262	
9	Exhibit 32 7/25/21 SEC privilege log 1	262	
10	Exhibit 33 7/23/21 SEC privilege log 2	262	
11	Exhibit 34 E-mail	297	
12	Exhibit 35 E-mail	301	
13	Exhibit 36 SEC meeting notes	301	
14 15	Exhibit 37 Executive branch personnel public financial disclosure report	326	
16	Exhibit 38 SEC policy	328	
17	Exhibit 39 1/16/18 office of ethics counsel	333	
18	statement		
19	Exhibit 40 Settlement agreement	338	
20	Exhibit 41 Memorandum	378	
21			
22			

```
8
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is media No. 1 of
 1
      the videotaped deposition of William Hinman. This
 2
      is in the matter of SEC versus Ripple Labs, et al.
 3
      This is in the United States District Court,
      Southern District of New York, No. 20-CV-10832-AT.
 6
      This deposition is being held at 1615 M Street,
      Northwest, Suite 400, Washington, D.C. on
 7
      July 27th, 2021. The time on the video monitor is
 8
      approximately 9:12 a.m.
 9
               My name is David Campbell. I am the legal
10
      videographer from Digital Evidence Group.
11
12
      court reporter is Tina Alfaro, the hotseat is James
13
      Beall. Both are with Digital Evidence Group.
               Counsel, will you please identify
14
      yourselves for the record after which the court
15
      reporter will please swear in the witness and we
16
      can proceed.
17
               MR. FIGEL: Reid Figel with Eliana Pfeffer
18
19
      representing Ripple Labs.
20
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Martin Flumenbaum with
21
      Sarah Prostko, Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton &
22
      Garrison, representing Chris Larsen.
```

```
9
               MR. PISEM: Michael Pisem, Debevoise &
 1
      Plimpton representing Ripple Labs.
 2
               MR. ALDEROTY: Stu Alderoty, General
 3
      Counsel, Ripple.
 4
               MR. SOLOMON: Matthew Solomon, Cleary
 6
      Gottlieb, representing Brad Garlinghouse, and with
      me is Nicole Tatz also from Cleary.
 7
 8
               MR. TENREIRO: Jorge Tenreiro on behalf of
      Plaintiff, Securities and Exchange Commission.
 9
      With me are my colleagues Melinda Hardy, Bridget
10
11
      Fitzpatrick, and Ladan Stewart.
12
               MS. KELLY: Meaghan Kelly with Paul
13
      Gluckow from Simpson Thacher for the witness.
                          (Witness sworn.)
14
15
      WHEREUPON:
16
                   WILLIAM HAROLD HINMAN, JR.
      called as a witness herein, having been first duly
17
      sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
18
19
                          EXAMINATION
20
      BY MR. FIGEL:
           Q. Good morning, Mr. Hinman.
21
22
           A. Good morning.
```

MR. FIGEL: There is one housekeeping matter I'd like to discuss with Mr. Tenreiro with respect to a document production just to put it on the record early.

we're now putting on the record, among the discovery produced in this case were documents from We received documents in the original production that lacked metadata. We made a supplemental request for documents that included the metadata and we'll be using those documents which were produced late last night in the deposition, but because there seem to be some inconsistencies in terms of date stamps and attachments and things like that, I want to make sure that Mr. Tenreiro has copies of the original production just so we don't have any unnecessary issues down the road about the version of the document we're using.

So I'd like to ask the court reporter to mark this document which bears the Bates Nos.

a lot of zeros 1 through 10 as Hinman

```
11
      Exhibit 1, and I'll represent this is a printed
 1
 2
      copy of one of the original chain of e-mails that
      we received from
 3
                         (Hinman Exhibit 1 was marked
                          for identification.)
 6
               MR. BEALL: I'm going to need the tag
      number. This was part of the group that was given
 7
      to me this morning.
 8
 9
               MR. FIGEL: No, this is supplemental.
10
      So...
11
               MR. TENREIRO: Mark and others that might
     be on the phone, we'll send you copies later.
12
               MR. FIGEL: Oh, I'm sorry. Actually I did
13
      misspeak. Just for the record, the documents we
14
      are -- that I'm putting on the record now do not
15
      correlate perfectly with the prior documents we
16
      were going to use. So we can talk about that off
17
      the record, but --
18
               MR. TENREIRO: So these are my copies?
19
20
      This is the whole thing?
21
               MR. FIGEL: There's one more.
22
              MR. TENREIRO: Okay. Okay. Got it.
```

```
12
               MR. FIGEL: The next document was produced
 1
                   with a Bates number
                                                   ultiple
 2
      zeros 11. It's a one-page document. I ask that
 3
      the court reporter mark this as Hinman Exhibit 2.
 4
                         (Hinman Exhibit 2 was marked
 5
 6
                          for identification.)
               MR. TENREIRO: This is also from last
 7
      night, Reid?
 8
 9
               MR. FIGEL: No. These were the earlier
     produced documents. These were the ones that came
10
11
     without the metadata.
               MR. TENREIRO: I see. So these are the
12
      earlier ones. You don't have these available to
13
     circulate to my colleagues?
14
              MR. FIGEL: It's okay. We do.
15
               And the third document does not bear Bates
16
     numbers. It was -- or actually it does. It's hard
17
      to read.
18
19
              MR. TENREIRO: 000146.
20
               MR. FIGEL: So the third one is -- bears
21
      Bates No. 00146
                              orefix and ends with
22
                000180, and this appears to be
```

```
13
 1
      attachments to the prior documents.
               MR. TENREIRO: Okay.
 2
               MR. FIGEL: And I'll ask the court
 3
      reporter to mark this as Hinman Exhibit 3.
 4
                         (Hinman Exhibit 3 was marked
 5
                          for identification.)
 6
 7
               MR. TENREIRO: I mean, we can discuss -- I
      don't see the designation of an attachment in
 8
      these, but, you know, when we look at it we can
9
10
      talk about it.
               MR. FIGEL: I can explain it to you.
11
      attachment on the e-mail does not correlate to the
12
13
      title.
               MR. TENREIRO: And certainly there were no
14
      attachments to these. Okay. Thank you.
15
               Before we get started with questioning,
16
      you know, just a couple of quick stipulations that
17
      we've discussed prior. The first is that we're
18
19
      going to designate the transcript as confidential
20
      under the judge's protective order. We will note
21
      designations of confidentiality and highly
22
      confidential after the deposition.
```

The second is that the parties have stipulated, as we have done prior, again, that an objection by me or by Mr. Hinman's counsel preserves the objection both for the SEC and for Mr. Hinman.

And the third is that the parties have agreed that by having Mr. Hinman sit for this deposition and testify, excuse me, as to certain questions the SEC is not waiving otherwise applicable privileges or protections with respect to documents it has withheld from production as properly privileged or protected from disclosure, and similarly that should Mr. Hinman disclose information that is otherwise privileged or protected, which I will instruct him not to do today, that disclosure will not be deemed a waiver by the SEC of the subject waiver or otherwise a waiver of any applicable protection.

Is that okay with the parties?

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Yes, insofar as we're asking the questions. If you ask Mr. Hinman questions, then I believe you open him up to

```
15
 1
     whatever deliberative process issues exist.
               MR. TENREIRO: Okay. I actually don't
 2
     think that's true on the second half, but we're
 3
     okay on the first half. So let's keep it there and
 4
     when we get to our questions we can discuss that.
 6
               Reid, do you agree as well?
 7
               MR. FIGEL: I agree with the caveat
      expressed by Mr. Flumenbaum, but in general with
 8
     respect to our questions, yes, that's our
9
10
     agreement.
11
               MR. TENREIRO: Thank you.
12
     BY MR. FIGEL:
           Q. Good morning, Director Hinman.
13
14
          A. Good morning.
           Q. Could you state your full name for the
15
     record and spell it.
16
           A. William Harold Hinman, Junior. So
17
     H-I-N-M-A-N is the last name.
18
           Q. And where do you currently reside?
19
20
          A. In D.C.
           Q. The deposition's confidential. So I'll
21
22
     ask what is your current address?
```

```
16
 1
               MR. TENREIRO: Let's just skip that. What
      do you need it for? We can talk about what you
 2
      need it for, we can give it to him. There's a lot
 3
      of interest in this case and I'd rather --
 4
               MR. FIGEL: Fair enough. All right, but
      we have an understanding that --
 6
 7
              MR. TENREIRO: Plus it's counsel.
              THE REPORTER: We have to go one at a
 8
      time.
 9
               MR. FIGEL: -- if asked, we will be
10
      provided with the addresses if necessary.
11
12
              MR. TENREIRO: Yes.
13
              MR. FIGEL: We'll be provided with the
      addresses of Mr. Hinman's residences.
14
     BY MR. FIGEL:
15
           Q. Mr. Hinman, have you ever been deposed
     before?
17
          A. Once.
18
          Q. And when was that?
19
20
          A. About 25 years ago. So I don't remember
      the exact date.
21
22
          Q. And, again, without getting into a lot of
```

- detail, what was the general topic or subject of the case in which you were deposed?
- A. It was -- as a company counsel I had given a company advice with respect to transfers of securities under 144, and that became an issue between the company and the holder of the securities.
- Q. Just a couple preliminary comments. I'm sure you're aware, but we do have a court reporter as well as a videographer. So we need verbal answers to questions. Head nods and --
 - A. Okay.

Q. -- less precise answers will not be clear from the transcript and we obviously want to have a clear record. Obviously only one person can speak at a time. So please let me finish my question before you give an answer, and give Mr. Tenreiro sufficient time or your counsel sufficient time to make an objection if they would like to do that, particularly given we're going to be dealing with some privilege issues. Part of what we'll be doing today is creating a record.

A. Thank you.

- Q. Other than instructions on privilege, if Mr. Tenreiro objects, that's not a basis for you not to answer the question. So he will note his objection, but you're still required to give an answer to my question. Do you understand that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And then the less serious point, but if you need a break, just let us know. I think we'll probably take a break about every hour, hour and a half, but if you need one for any reason, just ask. The only thing I would ask is you don't ask for a break before you've answered a question that I've put to you.
 - A. Sure. Thank you.
- MR. TENREIRO: Just to let him know, though, that if he has a question on privilege we might have to take a break.
 - MR. FIGEL: Of course. Fair enough.
- Q. And you're represented by personal counsel today; is that correct?
- A. That's right.

19 1 Q. And could you identify your counsel, please. 2 A. Simpson Thacher. 3 Q. Are you also represented today by counsel 4 for the SEC? 6 A. I don't believe so. 7 MR. TENREIRO: Again, on the record as we've explained before, we represent him in his 8 capacity as a former SEC official. That's how we 9 10 represent him. 11 MR. FIGEL: Fair enough. BY MR. FIGEL: 12 Q. And are you aware of the fact that the SEC 13 moved to quash your deposition today? 14 A. I'm aware of that. 15 Q. And you did not have your personal counsel 16 move to quash the deposition, correct? 17 18 A. That's right. Q. Did you have time to prepare for your 19 20 deposition today? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Approximately how many hours did you spend

```
20
 1
      preparing for the deposition?
           A. I believe five or six hours.
 2
           Q. And when -- when were those five or six
 3
      hours?
 4
           A. Over the last couple of weeks, two
      different occasions.
 6
           Q. And were those preparation sessions done
 7
      in person or over Zoom or some other video
 8
      communication?
 9
           A. We had a phone call and an in-person
10
      session.
11
12
           Q. And who was present for -- let's
13
      start with do you remember approximately when the
      first prep session was?
14
           A. About ten days ago approximately. And who
15
      was present?
           Q. Well, that was the one by phone, correct?
17
           A. No. That was in person.
18
           Q. Okay. And where was the in-person
19
20
      meeting?
           A. At the SEC.
           Q. And who was present for that meeting?
22
```

```
21
           A. Basically the folks here except for the
 1
      last one, Lindy. I've forgotten Lindy's last name.
 2
               MS. HARVEY: Harvey.
 3
           Q. But Ms. Fitzpatrick was present and
 4
      Mr. Gluckman and everyone?
 5
           A. I'm trying to remember. Paul was not
 6
      there as well.
 7
               MR. FIGEL: I'm sorry. Your last name?
 8
               MS. KELLY: Meaghan Kelly.
 9
           Q. Ms. Kelly was there?
10
           A. Yes.
11
12
           Q. Okay. And how long did that session last?
           A. About four, four and a half hours I would
13
      think.
14
           Q. Were you shown any documents in that
15
16
      meeting?
           A. Yes.
17
           Q. Did any of those documents refresh your
18
      recollection about events that you did not have a
19
20
      recollection to before the meeting?
           A. I didn't remember the specific document,
21
22
      but I generally remembered the topics covered and
```

the substance of the documents.

- Q. When was the next time you prepared -- you met with counsel to prepare for your deposition?
- A. Our phone call I think was yesterday or the day before yesterday.
- Q. Okay. And where were you when that phone call was made?
 - A. At my home.
 - Q. Was anyone present with you at your home?
- 10 A. No.

- Q. And who was on the line?
- A. Jorge, Meaghan. I'm not sure if Paul was on the line, and I'm not sure exactly who else from the SEC.
- Q. To your knowledge, was anyone on -- either listening in on the meeting at the SEC or on the phone call other than counsel from Simpson Thacher and counsel for the SEC?
 - A. No.
- Q. And were you shown any documents or were you provided with documents in connection with the phone session you had yesterday or the day before?

23 1 A. I think there was an e-mail with the links to speeches. 2 Q. Other than links to speeches, any other 3 documents? A. Not that I recall. Q. All right. Any circumstances or 6 situations that we should be aware of that would 7 8 prevent you from giving full and complete testimony today? 9 10 A. No, not that I'm aware of. MR. FIGEL: So we are now up to Hinman 4. 11 12 I'd like the court reporter to mark as an exhibit, 13 Hinman 4, a stipulation that was entered into by the parties to this case, by Mr. Tenreiro, by 14 15

letter that Chairman Clayton sent to Representative

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Ted Budd on March 7th 2019.

```
24
 1
      the witness his copy?
               MR. FIGEL: I'm not going to -- I'm just
 2
      putting this on the record. I just want to have it
 3
      in.
 4
               MR. TENREIRO: Absolutely. I just want to
      make sure I don't pass his copy down.
 6
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Is that Exhibit 4?
 7
               MR. FIGEL: That's Exhibit 4, yes.
 8
                         (Hinman Exhibit 4 was marked
9
10
                          for identification.)
      BY MR. FIGEL:
11
12
           Q. Other than the people you identified at
13
      your prep session and individuals directly
      associated with Ripple or their representatives,
14
      have you had communications with any other person
15
      as to whether XRP or transactions in XRP were
16
      securities under the federal securities laws?
17
               MR. TENREIRO: And you said other than
18
      people you identified in your prep session, but I'm
19
20
      going to include and I'm going to instruct him not
      to answer other SEC staff members or commissioners
22
      or SEC people to the extent there were discussions
```

that were deliberative.

With that instruction, please answer.

- A. Other than these deliberative discussions, that's right.
- Q. So no, let's call them, third parties, non-SEC employees, and nonrepresentatives of Ripple in connection with meetings that you might have had?
- MR. TENREIRO: I'm sorry, Reid. Just for third parties non-SEC employees. Also no other governmental, you know, I'm excluding that as well. We're asserting privilege to the extent he had those. I'm not saying he did, but if he did, I'm excluding those.
- MR. FIGEL: Okay. Let's rephrase the question and make a record.
- Did you have communications with any representatives of any government agency, foreign or domestic, about whether XRP or transactions in XRP were securities under the federal securities laws? Answer yes or no.
 - A. Yes.

O. With whom?

- A. I believe the topic came up in connection with some FSOC meetings, financial stability oversight committee that I participated in.
- Q. And approximately when were those meetings?
- A. The FSOC subcommittee on digital assets was probably formed in 2018, and this topic -- I don't have a specific recollection of this topic, but I think this topic came up in the context of those meetings that year.
- Q. And was there -- again, without getting into the substance of the communications, was there a policy or a determination that was being discussed at the meeting that you just testified to?
- A. In general the FSOC group was trying to analyze the regulatory framework for digital assets. So, you know, different activities in the area, different coins that had been issued were frequently discussed. So that's the context in which that probably came up.

MR. FIGEL: And Mr. Tenreiro, I assume from your previous instruction that any questions I would ask Mr. -- Director Hinman about who said what to whom with respect to XRP or Ripple you would give the same instruction?

MR. TENREIRO: You are correct.

- Q. And just so the record is clear, have you had communications with third parties -- and by third parties we use Mr. Tenreiro's formulation, people other than SEC employees, other than employees of other domestic or foreign governments, or representatives of Ripple -- since you left the commission as to whether XRP or transactions in XRP is a security under the federal securities laws?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. How are you currently employed?
- A. I'm a senior advisor for Simpson Thacher as well as a group called AndVest as well as Andreessen Horowitz, basically consulting arrangements.
- Q. So you're a senior advisor to Simpson Thacher?

28 1 A. Yes. Q. And with respect to AndVest you said you 2 were a senior advisor? 3 A. Yes. AndVest, A-N-D-V-E-S-T. 4 Q. And is that a compensated position? A. Just securities. 6 7 Q. You receive securities as compensation exchange --8 A. It's a --9 Q. Let me finish my question. 10 A. Sure. Sorry. 11 12 Q. You receive securities in exchange for 13 whatever advisory services you provide to AndVest; is that correct? 14 15 A. That's right. I'm expected to provide ten hours roughly a week of advisory services, and I have shares in AndVest and some of their 17 subsidiaries that vest over time. 18 19 Q. Okay. And what was the third company or 20 entity to which you provide? A. Andreessen Horowitz. 21 22 THE REPORTER: One more time.

29 THE WITNESS: Andreessen Horowitz. So 1 Andreessen, A-N-D-R-E-E-S-S-E-N, Horowitz. 2 Q. And is that also -- do you receive 3 compensation for --4 A. Yes. 6 Q. -- services provided to Andreessen Horowitz? 7 8 A. Yes. Q. And what is your relationship with 9 Andreessen Horowitz in terms of time commitment or 10 11 obligations with respect to --12 A. Roughly the same in terms of advisory 13 role, hours per week. Q. When did you first have communications 14 with Andreessen Horowitz about becoming an advisor 15 to Andreessen Horowitz? 16 A. Probably in April of this year, maybe --17 maybe May. 18 19 Q. April of 2021? 20 A. Yes. Fairly recent. Q. And what about --21 22 A. Maybe even June. Actually late May, early

```
30
 1
      June.
           Q. But no discussions prior to May or June of
 2
 3
      2021?
           A. Correct.
 4
           Q. And what about with AndVest?
           A. That was probably in February.
 6
           Q. Of 2021?
 7
           A. Maybe March. Yes.
 8
           Q. Do you maintain a residence in New York
 9
10
      City?
11
           A. No.
12
                         (Hinman Exhibit 5 was marked
                          for identification.)
13
      BY MR. FIGEL:
14
           Q. I'd like to show you what I will -- so I'd
15
      like to show you a document that I will ask the
      court reporter to mark as Exhibit 5. You can just
17
      hand it over to him.
18
19
           A. Okay.
20
           Q. This was intended to save you a little
      time. I'm not sure it did. I'll represent to you
21
22
      this is a document we downloaded from the Simpson
```

31 1 Thacher Website. Have you seen this before? A. Yes. 2 Q. Did you approve this before it was posted 3 on the Simpson Thacher Website? 4 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. Go ahead. A. I believe this or a draft similar to this. 6 7 Q. Does this accurately state your educational background? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And does it accurately describe your areas 10 of professional expertise as a -- as a lawyer? 11 12 A. I think so, yes. 13 Q. And does it also accurately describe your employment history before you joined the SEC. 14 I'll tell you what. I'll withdraw the 15 question. 16 A. Yeah. I'm not sure it covers everything, 17 but what's there looks right. 18 Q. Shortly after you graduated from law 19 20 school you joined Sherman & Sterling, correct? A. That's right. 21 22 Q. And what was your area -- and you became a

```
32
 1
      partner in 1988?
               That's right.
 2
           A.
           Q. And what were your areas of practice?
 3
           A. Bank finance, corporate securities.
 4
           Q. Were you ever a litigator?
           A. No.
 6
 7
           Q. You left Sherman & Sterling in
      approximately 2000, correct?
 8
           A. That's right.
 9
           Q. And you joined Simpson Thacher as a
10
      partner?
11
12
           A.
               That's right.
13
           Q. And then you resigned from Simpson Thacher
      in approximately May of 2017 and assumed the duties
14
      of the director of the division of corporate
15
      finance, correct?
16
               MR. TENREIRO: Objection.
17
18
               MS. KELLY: Objection to form.
           A. I think I may have left Simpson earlier
19
20
      than that. I had retired as a full general partner
      at the end of 2016, and then I thought my -- I had
21
22
      a contractual relationship for one particular
```

33 matter after that and I think that -- I thought 1 that ended in March, but it may have been later. 2 Q. And, again, at a very high level, what was 3 your area of practice while you were partner at 4 Simpson Thacher between approximately 2000 and when you retired in 2016? 6 7 A. Securities offerings. Q. Again, did you do any litigation? 8 A. I would assist the litigators in matters 9 10 that were in my area. 11 Q. Did you consider yourself a litigator? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Did you consider yourself to have expertise in litigation? 14 15 A. No. Q. At any time prior to the time that you 16 became the director of the division of corporate 17 finance did you represent any client engaged or 18 19 involved in digital asset transactions? 20 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 21 A. I don't think we had retained a specific 22 client. There was an associate at the firm, a

```
34
 1
      former associate who had started working with a
     digital asset company, and they wanted to --
 2
               MR. TENREIRO: Wait a second. Just don't
 3
     disclose, you know, any communications that might
 4
     reveal --
 5
 6
               THE WITNESS: Yeah.
 7
               MR. TENREIRO: Thank you.
           A. They had questions of how they could
 8
     comply with the securities laws. So they
9
     consulted.
10
           Q. Can you identify the client?
11
12
           A. I don't remember the name of the client,
13
      frankly, and it wasn't -- I don't think they ever
     became a formal client. It was more helping a
14
     former associate think through some issues.
15
           Q. And, again, following Mr. Tenreiro's
16
      admonition, I'm not asking for communications with
17
      either the associate or with the client. I'm just
18
19
     asking the general area of the representation.
20
           A. Yeah.
21
           Q. Did you advise that client with respect to
22
     whether transactions in digital assets -- and I
```

```
35
      mean that broadly -- tokens, ICO's, coins were
 1
      subject to registration under the federal
 2
      securities laws?
 3
          A. Yes, we did.
           Q. And did you reach a conclusion on that?
           A. Yes.
 6
 7
           Q. And, again, at a high level, what was
      your -- what was your conclusion?
 8
           A. That they would need to comply with the
9
      securities laws and register the offering or have a
10
      good exemption.
11
12
           Q. And what stage of development was this
13
      company?
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. Also if
14
15
      you can answer that without disclosing
      communications, factual communications you learned
16
      from your client, go ahead.
17
           A. I'm not sure exactly what stage they were
18
      at. So...
19
20
           Q. Do you recall whether the advice you
      provided to this client was in connection with an
21
22
      initial coin offering?
```

36 1 A. I do recall. Q. And was it? 2 3 A. Yes. Q. And so whatever advice you provided was to 4 a company that had not yet sold any coins or 5 tokens, correct? 6 7 A. I'm not sure. They may have already raised some funds. I don't know if they did it 8 through coins or some other method. 9 Q. And at the time you were providing advice 10 had they developed a network or a product? 11 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 12 13 A. I believe they had something developed. I don't really remember the business plan that well 14 at this point, but they were midstream. 15 Q. Okay. All right. Other than this client, 16 the unidentified client, were there -- did you 17 represent any other client that was engaged or 18 19 involved in digital asset transactions? 20 A. Not that I recall. Q. Prior to the time you joined the SEC in 21 22 2017, how would you characterize your level of

expertise with respect to the application of the federal securities laws to transactions in digital assets?

- A. I was someone in the firm that people came to with questions of that sort. So, you know, sort of in the middle. It was new for everyone, but I had taken an interest in it and had studied it a little bit. So probably above average, but no one knew a whole lot.
 - Q. And why is that no one knew a whole lot?
- A. Because the instruments were just being shaped and formed and it was early days of this activity.
- Q. And there had not been a lot of litigation as to when a transaction in a digital asset would constitute a security, correct?
 - MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Prior to joining the SEC in 2017 -- and you can just say yes or no for now to avoid the privilege issues -- had you personally represented Andreessen Horowitz or any entity affiliated with

what is now Andreessen Horowitz?

- A. I don't think they were ever a client.

 They might have been involved with matters I was involved in, but I don't think they were a client.
 - Q. And how about
 - A. No.
 - Q. And how about the Etherium Foundation?
- A. No.

Q. And I mean this in a very broad sense When I talk about the Ethereum foundation and I'm reading from language on their Website. So if you'll bear with me.

"The Ethereum Foundation is not a company or even a traditional nonprofit. Their role is not to control or lead Ethereum, nor are they the only organization that funds critical development of Ethereum-related technologies. The Ethereum FoundationSo with that very amorphous, broad definition of the Ethereum Foundation do you believe you represented any company, individual, or entity that was involved in the Ethereum Foundation as I just described it?

```
39
               MR. TENREIRO: I'm sorry. Objection to
 1
 2
      form. Go ahead.
           A. No.
 3
           Q. And same questions with respect not to you
 4
      but to Simpson Thacher generally. To your
      knowledge, prior to May 2017 had Simpson Thacher
 6
 7
      represented Andreessen Horowitz or any of their
      affiliates?
 8
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form again. Go
      ahead.
10
11
           A. I don't know of Andreessen being a client
      of the firm.
12
           Q. And how about
13
14
           A. Same answer.
           Q. And same about the Ethereum Foundation in
15
      the broadest definition of their conception of what
16
      they are?
17
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
18
19
           A. Same answer.
20
               THE REPORTER: Guys, you've got to slow
21
      down a little, please.
22
           Q. To your knowledge, any time after May 2017
```

```
40
      did Simpson Thacher represent Andreessen Horowitz
 1
 2
      or any of its affiliates?
           A. So just to be certain, the time frame
 3
      you're talking about is after May '17 until now?
 4
           Q. Yes. After you joined the SEC, to your
 5
 6
      knowledge, did Simpson Thacher represent Andreessen
     Horowitz?
 7
           A. No --
 8
               MS. KELLY: Objection to form.
           A. -- not that I know of.
10
11
           O. And is that true to the current -- to the
12
      current day?
13
           A. Yes.
                                         ith respect to
           Q. And what about
14
      Simpson Thacher after May 2017.
15
16
               MS. KELLY: Objection to form.
              MR. FIGEL: I'll back up. Withdrawn.
17
               To your knowledge, after May 2017 did
18
      Simpson Thacher represent
19
20
           A. Not to my knowledge.
21
           Q. And to your knowledge, after May 2017 did
22
      Simpson Thacher represent the Ethereum Foundation
```

```
41
 1
      or any entities or individuals generally affiliated
      with the Ethereum Foundation?
 2
               MS. KELLY: Objection to form, ambiguous.
 3
           A. That's pretty broad. I don't know all the
 4
      entities that are affiliated with them, but I'm not
      aware of a connection between the firm and the
 6
      Foundation.
 7
           Q. And I think I asked this, but just so the
 8
      record's clear, prior to the time that you had --
9
      that you joined the SEC in 2017 had you represented
10
      any other cryptocurrency company?
11
12
               MR. TENREIRO: Do you mean other than what
13
      we talked about?
14
               MR. FIGEL: Yes.
               MR. TENREIRO: Okay.
15
      BY THE WITNESS:
16
           A. Not that I recall.
17
           Q. Are you familiar with something known as
18
      the Enterprise Ethereum Alliance?
19
20
           A. Since I left the SEC I became aware of it.
           Q. And what is your current understanding of
21
22
      what the Enterprise Ethereum Alliance is?
```

- A. I'm not exactly sure, but I think it's a trade group of some sort that large enterprises that want to understand Ethereum, you know, engage with it to sort of be informed and, you know, facilitate their work in that area.
- Q. And to your knowledge, is Simpson Thacher currently a participant in the Enterprise Ethereum Alliance?
 - MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
 - A. I understand that the firm is a member.
- Q. Do you know when Simpson Thacher first became a member of the Ethereum -- the Enterprise Ethereum Alliance?
- A. I'm not sure.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- THE REPORTER: Can I get a spelling on Ethereum.
- 17 MR. FIGEL: E-T-H-E-R-E-U-M.
- 18 THE REPORTER: Thank you.
- Q. I'd like to now direct your attention to
 the period after you announced your retirement from
 Simpson Thacher until you joined the SEC as a
 director of the division of corporate finance?

43 1 A. Okay. Did you know Jay Clayton before you joined 2 the SEC? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And Mr. Clayton was a partner at Sullivan & Cromwell, correct? 6 7 A. That's right. Q. And how did you know him? 8 A. I think we probably had run across each 9 other over the years. Jay practices in the same 10 area as I do. I don't remember a specific matter 11 other than Alibaba, the IPO for Alibaba. He 12 13 represented the underwriters and I represented the issuers. 14 15 Q. Do you recall when you first met Mr. Clayton? 16 A. Personally I met him the night before 17 Alibaba started trading because he was at the 18 19 printer and we met at the printer. 20 Q. Other than the Alibaba IPO, do you recall any other transactions you worked on with 22 Mr. Clayton?

44 A. I think after the Alibaba IPO while I was 1 still in practice he called me up about a matter 2 that involved a firm client and his client just to 3 clarify an issue. 4 Q. And, again, without getting into the substance of those communications, do you recall 6 which client that was? 7 MS. KELLY: Objection, not relevant. You 8 can answer. 9 A. I don't have a firm recollection. I have 10 a good guess, but I don't -- I wouldn't be certain 11 which client it was. It was a private equity 12 13 client. Q. Not a cryptocurrency client or a digital 14 asset client? 15 A. No. It wasn't a digital issue. 16 Q. Mr. Clayton appointed you to be the 17 director of the division of corporate finance, 18 correct? 19 20 A. That's right. Q. How did that appointment come about? 21 A. I had called Jay to congratulate him about 22

- his being selected as chairman. We had a conversation, he asked me what I was doing, I said I was retiring, and he said you're too young to retire, why don't you become the direct- -- I'm collapsing a couple conversations, but the gist of it was you're too young to retire, why don't you be the director of corporation finance.
- Q. And you resigned from the SEC in approximately December of 2020; is that correct?
 - A. That's right.

- Q. And why did you resign?
- A. I had always intended to basically do about four years. I had delayed my retirement, you know, I'm now 66. At the time I went into the SEC I was 62, which is the age that I was thinking of retiring. So I delayed it for quite a while. So I thought four more years would be about as much as I'd want to do.
- Q. I believe you testified generally about this, but I'm going to ask a slightly more specific question. When you joined the SEC in May of 2017 did you consider yourself an expert in the

46 1 application of the federal securities laws to digital asset transactions? 2 3 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. A. I don't think I was an expert. I'm not 4 sure whether experts existed at that point. 5 Q. Okay. And why do you state that you 6 didn't think experts existed at that time? 7 8 A. Because you asked about the application of the securities laws to digital assets. I think 9 10 digital assets were just emerging as a way to raise funds or to potentially create an enterprise, and I 11 12 don't think people had completely thought through 13 all the ways the SEC -- the securities laws may 14 apply to that activity. 15 Q. Prior to joining the SEC in May of 2017 had you participated in panels or webinars that 16 discussed the application of the federal securities 17 laws to digital asset transactions? 18 A. No. 19 20 Q. Have you written any client alerts about the application of the federal securities laws to 21 22 digital asset transactions?

47 1 A. No. Q. Had you created any work product that 2 analyzed or discussed the application of the 3 federal securities laws to digital asset 4 transactions? 6 MS. KELLY: Objection. Don't answer if it 7 reveals any privileged information. 8 A. No. MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm sorry. Was that no? 9 10 THE WITNESS: That's a no. Q. When you joined the SEC in May of 2017 had 11 12 you heard of Ether? 13 A. Probably. Q. Do you recall in general what your level 14 15 of understanding about Ether was when you joined the SEC in 2017? 16 17 A. Probably basic. Q. By "basic" what do you mean? 18 A. I knew it was a digital asset, had heard 19 20 that it was used to -- as fuel so people using that coin could in effect have access to computer 21 22 resources to process smart contracts or other

48 1 functions on that network. Q. Had you gone through the -- let's call it 2 the mental exercise of considering how the federal 3 securities laws might apply to transactions in 4 Ether? MR. TENREIRO: Just to be clear, we're 6 7 still talking about before the SEC? MR. FIGEL: Yes. Yes. 8 A. I don't think it was specifically Ether. 9 10 It was more generally to digital assets. I had familiarized myself with the Howey case at that 11 12 point I believe. 13 Q. But you hadn't gone through the mental exercise of thinking -- withdrawn. 14 Other than what you testified to, did you 15 have an understanding of the basic facts about 17 Ether that would be relevant to the application of the Howey test before you joined the SEC? 18 A. No. 19 20 Q. Had you heard of Bitcoin? 21 A. Yes. Q. How would you characterize your 22

49 understanding of Bitcoin as of May 2017 just prior 1 to the time you joined the SEC? 2 A. Basic. 3 Q. And by "basic" what do you mean? 4 A. Basically understood it was a digital asset that transferred through cryptographic 6 addresses on a blockchain. 7 8 Q. And, again, had you gone through the mental exercise -- withdrawn. 9 10 Had you gone through the -- taken any efforts to understand the facts that related to 11 12 Bitcoin that would inform the analysis of whether 13 the federal securities laws applied to transactions in Bitcoin? 14 15 A. No. Q. Prior to the time you joined the SEC had 16 you heard of XRP? 17 A. I don't think so. 18 MR. FIGEL: This will -- this will be 19 20 opaque, but we have someone who is monitoring the screen and we had a request to put the documents 21 22 I'm going to be showing you up on the screen, but

```
50
 1
      because I didn't know what order we were going to
      be offering the documents there's an internal
 2
      document.
 3
               So Mr. Hotseater, I'm showing Mr. Hinman a
 4
      document that is in the outline as Exhibit CC. I'm
 5
      sorry. AA.
 6
 7
                         (Hinman Exhibit 6 was marked
                          for identification.)
 8
      BY MR. FIGEL:
9
10
           Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 in front of you?
           A. The only thing I have is my bio.
11
12
           Q. So I show you what's been marked as
13
      Exhibit 6. I'll represent to you that I believe
      this is the Supreme Court's decision in SEC versus
14
      Howey. I just want to follow up on your earlier
15
      testimony that you said that prior to joining the
16
      SEC you had familiarized yourself with Howey as it
17
      may relate to digital assets, correct?
18
19
           A. I believe so.
20
           Q. Okay. Approximately when was that?
           A. Probably mid 2016, late 2016 I believe.
21
22
           Q. And did you do that in anticipation of
```

becoming the director of the division of corporate finance?

A. No.

- Q. Again, without revealing any privileged communications, what prompted you to read Howey with a view to considering how it might apply to transactions in digital assets?
- A. Again, the firm had a former associate who had questions in this area.
- Q. So it was in connection with the representation you testified to earlier?
- A. Yes. As I say, I don't recall if the firm -- if the firm ever took that person on as a client or that person's company on as a client, but we were trying to understand this area ourselves and in connection with discussing things with her we familiarized ourselves -- "we" being myself and a few associates that were working with me, familiarized ourselves with the law in the area.
- Q. All right. Prior to joining the SEC had you applied the Supreme Court's decision in Howey to any facts that you had at that time about

```
52
 1
      Bitcoin?
 2
           A. No.
           Q. Did you have a view as to whether -- prior
 3
      to joining the SEC as to whether Bitcoin was a
 4
      security?
 6
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
           A. I don't think so.
 7
           Q. Did you have a view prior to the time you
 8
      joined the SEC as to whether transactions in
 9
      Bitcoin were investment contracts?
10
11
           A. I don't think so.
12
           Q. Prior to joining the SEC had you gone
13
      through the effort of applying the Supreme Court's
      decision in Howey to Ether?
14
15
           A. No.
           Q. Had you formed a view as to whether Ether
      was a security?
17
18
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
19
               MS. KELLY: Object to form. The time
20
      period isn't clear.
               THE REPORTER: Meaghan, I cannot hear you.
21
22
      Sorry.
```

53 1 MR. FIGEL: This whole line of questioning I'm focusing based on communications I've had with 2 Mr. Tenreiro on your thought process before you 3 joined the SEC, but I understand the objection. 4 MR. TENREIRO: My objection, Reid, is, you know, you're asking him about the application to 6 Ether and, you know, I think we have -- I don't 7 8 think I understand what that means. That's my objection. 9 10 MR. FIGEL: Prior to joining the SEC had you gone through the effort to determine whether 11 12 under the Supreme Court's decision in Howey Ether 13 was a security? 14 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. A. I don't believe so. 15 Q. And had you gone through the effort to --16 had you come to a view as to whether Ether was a 17 security? 18 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form again. 19 20 A. No. 21 Q. Had you come to a view as to whether 22 transactions in Ether were investment contracts

```
54
      under the federal securities laws?
 1
           A. No.
 2
           Q. And I believe you testified earlier but
 3
      just so the record's clear, you had not gone
 4
      through the exercise of applying Howey to XRP?
 5
           A. No.
 6
 7
           Q. And you hadn't formed a view as to whether
      XRP was a security prior to the time you joined the
 8
      SEC?
 9
10
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
           A. No. I wasn't aware of XRP at that point.
11
12
           Q. Now I'd like to -- this will get trickier
13
      for Mr. Tenreiro and me, but now I'm focusing on
      the point at which you assumed your duties as the
14
      director of the division of corporate finance. So
15
      you're now an SEC employee for these questions,
      correct? Do you follow me?
17
18
           A. I got you.
               MR. TENREIRO: Is this day one or do you
19
20
      mean the whole period?
21
               MR. FIGEL: From whenever he got his badge
22
      forward.
```

MR. TENREIRO: Okay.

- Q. But I'm now going to focus on, you know, your first few weeks before. After you joined the SEC in 2017, who did you consider the members of the staff of the division of corporate finance that you believed were most experienced in the application of the federal securities laws to digital asset transactions?
 - A. When I first got there?
 - O. Uh-huh.

- A. When I first got there I didn't really know the staff. So I wouldn't have a view when I first got there.
- Q. Well, as you -- as you assumed your duties and became more familiar with the fact, did you come to have a view as to who -- as to which staff member of the division of corporate finance was most experienced on the application of the federal securities laws to digital asset transactions?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And who was that?
- 22 A. I'm not sure any one person was more